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## Families within families of matter



## Families of atoms



This short table gives the name，the quantum numbers（where known），and the status of baryons in the Review．Only the baryons with 3－ or 4－star status are included in the main Baryon Summary Table．Due to insufficient data or uncertain interpretation，the other entries in the short table are not established as baryons．The names with masses are of baryons that decay strongly．For $N, \Delta$ ，and $\equiv$ resonances，the partia wave is indicated by the symbol $L_{21,2 J}$ ，where $L$ is the orbital angular momuntum $(S, P, D, \ldots), I$ is the isospin，and $J$ is the total angular momentum．For $\Lambda$ and $\Sigma$ resonances，the symbol is $L_{l, 2 J}$ ．

| $p$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(1232)$ | $P_{33}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\wedge$ | $P_{01}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Sigma^{+}$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | 三 0 ，${ }^{-}$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(1600)$ | $P_{33}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\wedge(1405)$ | $S_{01}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Sigma^{0}$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\equiv(1530)$ | $P_{13}$ | ＊＊＊＊ |
| $N(1440)$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(1620)$ | $S_{31}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\wedge(1520)$ | $D_{03}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Sigma$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\equiv(1620)$ |  | ＊ |
| $N(1520)$ | $D_{13}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(1700)$ | $D_{33}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Lambda(1600)$ | $P_{01}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1385)$ | $P_{13}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | 三（1690） |  | ＊＊＊ |
| $N(1535)$ | $S_{11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(1750)$ | $P_{31}$ | ＊ | $\Lambda(1670)$ | $S_{01}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1480)$ |  | ＊ | 三（1820） | $D_{13}$ | ＊＊＊ |
| $N(1650)$ | $S_{11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(1900)$ | $S_{31}$ | ＊＊ | $\wedge(1690)$ | $D_{03}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1560)$ |  | ＊＊ | 三（1950） |  | ＊＊＊ |
| $N(1675)$ | $D_{15}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta$（1905） | $F_{35}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Lambda(1800)$ | $S_{01}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1580)$ | $D_{13}$ | ＊＊ | 三（2030） |  | ＊＊＊ |
| $N(1680)$ | $F_{15}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(1910)$ | $P_{31}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Lambda(1810)$ | $P_{01}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1620)$ | $S_{11}$ | ＊＊ | 三（2120） |  | ＊ |
| $N(1700)$ | $D_{13}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Delta$（1920） | $P_{33}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Lambda(1820)$ | $F_{05}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1660)$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\equiv(2250)$ |  | ＊＊ |
| $N(1710)$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Delta(1930)$ | $D_{35}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Lambda(1830)$ | $D_{05}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1670)$ | $D_{13}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\equiv(2370)$ |  | ＊＊ |
| $N(1720)$ | $P_{13}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta$（1940） | $D_{33}$ | ＊ | $\Lambda(1890)$ | $P_{03}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1690)$ |  | ＊＊ | 三（2500） |  | ＊ |
| $N(1900)$ | $P_{13}$ | ＊＊ | $\Delta(1950)$ | $F_{37}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | 1 （2000） |  | ＊ | $\Sigma(1750)$ | $S_{11}$ | ＊＊＊ |  |  |  |
| $N(1990)$ | $F_{17}$ | ＊＊ | $\Delta(2000)$ | $F_{35}$ | ＊＊ | 1 （2020） | $F_{07}$ | ＊ | $\Sigma(1770)$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊ | $\Omega^{-}$ |  | ＊＊＊＊ |
| $N(2000)$ | $F_{15}$ | ＊＊ | $\Delta(2150)$ | $S_{31}$ | ＊ | $\wedge(2100)$ | $G_{07}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1775)$ | $D_{15}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Omega(2250)^{-}$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
| $N(2080)$ | $D_{13}$ | ＊＊ | $\Delta(2200)$ | $G_{37}$ | ＊ | $\wedge(2110)$ | $F_{05}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1840)$ | $P_{13}$ | ＊ | $\Omega(2380)^{-}$ |  | ＊＊ |
| $N(2090)$ | $S_{11}$ | ＊ | $\Delta(2300)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{39}$ | ＊＊ | ＾（2325） | $D_{03}$ | ＊ | $\Sigma(1880)$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊＊ | $\Omega(2470)^{-}$ |  | ＊＊ |
| $N(2100)$ | $P_{11}$ | ＊ | $\Delta(2350)$ | $D_{35}$ | ＊ | 1 （2350） | $\mathrm{H}_{09}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Sigma(1915)$ | $F_{15}$ | ＊＊＊＊ |  |  |  |
| $N(2190)$ | $G_{17}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(2390)$ | $F_{37}$ | ＊ | $1(2585)$ |  | ＊＊ | $\Sigma(1940)$ | $D_{13}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$ |  | ＊＊＊＊ |
| $N(2200)$ | $D_{15}$ | ＊＊ | $\Delta(2400)$ | $G_{39}$ | ＊＊ |  |  |  | $\Sigma(2000)$ | $S_{11}$ | ＊ | $\Lambda_{c}(2593){ }^{+}$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
| $N(2220)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{19}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(2420)$ | $H_{3,11}$ | ＊＊＊＊ |  |  |  | $\Sigma(2030)$ | $F_{17}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Lambda_{c}(2625)^{+}$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
| $N(2250)$ | $G_{19}$ | ＊＊＊＊ | $\Delta(2750)$ |  | ＊＊ |  |  |  | $\Sigma(2070)$ | $F_{15}$ | ＊ | $\Lambda_{c}(2765)^{+}$ |  | ＊ |
| $N(2600)$ | $\iota_{1,11}$ | ＊＊＊ | $\Delta(2950)$ | $K_{3,15}$ | ＊＊ |  |  |  | $\Sigma(2080)$ | $P_{13}$ | ＊＊ | $\Lambda_{c}(2880)^{+}$ |  | ＊＊ |
| $N(2700)$ | $K_{1,13}$ | ＊＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma(2100)$ | $G_{17}$ | ＊ | $\Sigma_{c}(2455)$ |  | ＊＊＊＊ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma(2250)$ |  | ＊＊＊ | $\Sigma_{c}(2520)$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma(2455)$ |  | ＊＊ | $\Xi_{c}^{+}, \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma(2620)$ |  | ＊＊ | $\Xi_{c}^{\prime+}$ ，$\Xi_{c}^{\prime 0}$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma(3000)$ |  | ＊ | $\bar{\Xi}_{c}(2645)$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma(3170)$ |  | ＊ | $\bar{\Xi}_{c}(2790)$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Xi_{c}(2815)$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Omega_{c}^{0}$ |  | ＊＊＊ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \Lambda_{b}^{0} \\ & \Xi_{b}^{0}, \Xi_{b}^{-} \end{aligned}$ |  | ＊＊＊ |

＊＊＊＊Existence is certain，and properties are at least fairly well explored．
＊＊＊Existence ranges from very likely to certain，but further confirmation is desirable and／or quantum numbers，branching fractions，etc．are not well determined．
＊＊Evidence of existence is only fair
＊Evidence of existence is poor．

## Baryon Families

Octet (S=1/2)


Strangeness vs. Isospin Component

Decuplet ( $\mathrm{S}=3 / 2$ )


Gell-Mann, Neeman SU(3) symmetry

## Production and decay of $\Omega^{-} \rightarrow \Xi^{\circ} \pi^{-}$


V.E. Barnes et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 8, 204 (1964)

FIG. 2. Photograph and line diagram of event showing decay of $\Omega^{-}$.

## (sub)Family of quarks

Gell-Mann, Zweig `63


## Properties of quarks

| Quark <br> Flavor | Charg <br> e (Q) | Baryon <br> number | Strangeness <br> (S) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| u | $+2 / 3$ | $+1 / 3$ | 0 |
| d | $-1 / 3$ | $+1 / 3$ | 0 |
| s | $-1 / 3$ | $+1 / 3$ | -1 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ | $-2 / 3$ | $-1 / 3$ | 0 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~d}}$ | $+1 / 3$ | $-1 / 3$ | 0 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{~s}}$ | $+1 / 3$ | $-1 / 3$ | +1 |

Protons are made of (uud)
Neutrons are made of (ddu)


## Hadron multiplets

Mesons $q \bar{q}$

$$
3 \otimes \overline{3}=8 \oplus 1
$$



Baryons qqq
$3 \otimes 3 \otimes 3=10 \oplus 8 \oplus 8 \oplus 1$


Baryons built from meson-baryon, or qqqqव


## What are pentaquarks?

- Minimum content: 4 quarks and 1 antiquark ( $q q q q \bar{Q}$ )
- "Exotic" pentaquarks are those where the antiquark has a different flavour than the other 4 quarks
- Quantum numbers cannot be defined by 3 quarks alone.

Example: uudss̄, non-exotic
Baryon number $=1 / 3+1 / 3+1 / 3+1 / 3-1 / 3=1$

$$
\text { Strangeness }=0+0+0-1+1=0
$$

The same quantum numbers one obtains from uud
Example: uudds̄, exotic
Baryon number $=1 / 3+1 / 3+1 / 3+1 / 3-1 / 3=1$ Strangeness $=0+0+0+0+1=+1$

# Quarks are confined inside colourless hadrons 



Mystery remains:
Of the many possibilities for combining quarks with colour into colourless hadrons, only two configurations were found, till now...
meson
q) $\bar{q}$
baryon
$q$
$q$

Particle Data Group 1986 reviewing evidence for exotic baryons states
"... The general prejudice against baryons not made of three quarks and the lack of any experimental activity in this area make it likely that it will be another 15 years before the issue is decided.

PDG dropped the discussion on pentaquark searches after 1988.

## Baryon states

All baryonic states listed in PDG can be made of 3 quarks only

* classified as octets, decuplets and singlets of flavour SU(3)
* Strangeness range from $S=0$ to $S=-3$

A baryonic state with $\mathrm{S}=+1$ is explicitely EXOTIC

- Cannot be made of 3 quarks
- Minimal quark content should be q99qs, hence pentaquark
- Must belong to higher SU(3) multiplets, e.g anti-decuplet observation of a $S=+1$ baryon implies a new large multiplet of baryons (pentaquark is always ocompanied by its large family!)

Searches for such states started in 1966, with negative results till autumn 2002 [16 years after 1986 report of PDG!]
... it will be another 15 years before the issue is decided.

## Theoretical predictions for pentaquarks

```
1. Bag models [R.L. Jaffe '77, J. De Swart '80]
JP}=1/\mp@subsup{2}{}{-}\mathrm{ lightest pentaquark
Masses higher than 1700 MeV, width ~ hundreds MeV
```

Mass of the pentaquark is roughly $5 \mathrm{M}+$ (strangeness) ~ 1800 MeV An additional $q$-anti- $q$ pair is added as constituent

```
2. Skyrme models [Diakonov, Petrov '84, Chemtob'85,
Praszalowicz '87, Walliser '92, Weigel `94]
Exotic anti-decuplet of baryons with lightest S=+1
JP}=1/\mp@subsup{2}{}{+}\mathrm{ pentaquark with mass in the range
1500-1800 MeV.
```

Mass of the pentaquark is rougly $3 \mathrm{M}+(1 /$ baryon size $)+($ strangeness $) \sim 1500 \mathrm{MeV}$ An additional $q$-anti-q pair is added in the form of excitation of nearly massless chiral field

The question what is the width of the exotic pentaquark In Skyrme model has not been address untill 1997

It came out that it should be "anomalously" narrow! Light and narrow pentaquark is expected -> drive for experiments
[D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, M. P. '97]

## The Anti-decuplet



## 2003 - Dawn of the Pentaquark

$\Theta^{+}$first particle which is made of more than 3 quarks!
Particle physics laboratories took the lead
Spring-8: LEPS (Carbon)
JLab: CLAS (deuterium \& proton)
ITEP: DIANA (Xenon bubble chamber)
ELSA: SAPHIR (Proton)
CERN/ITEP: Neutrino scattering
CERN SPS: NA49 (pp scattering)
DESY: HERMES (deuterium)
ZEUS (proton)
COSY: TOF (pp-> $\left.\Theta^{+} \Sigma^{+}\right)$
SVD (IHEP) (p A collisions)
HERA-B (pA) Negative Result

## $\Theta^{+} \Theta^{+} \Theta^{+} \Theta^{+} \ldots$



SAPHIR @ ELSA


ITEP


CLAS@JLAB


DIANA@ITEP


## HERMES@DESY

## Where do we stand with the $\Theta^{+}$?

| Experiments | Results <br> Mass <br> (MeV) | Width <br> (Mev) | Significance <br> ( $\sigma$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEPS | $1540 \pm 10 \pm 5$ | $\Gamma<25$ | $4.6 \pm 1$ |
| DIANA | $1539 \pm 2 \pm$ "few" | $\Gamma<8$ | 4.4 |
| CLAS | $1542 \pm 2 \pm 5$ | FWhM < 21 | $5.3 \pm 0.5$ |
| SAPHIR | $1540 \pm 4 \pm 2$ | $\Gamma<25$ | 4.8 |
| ITEP (v's) | $1533 \pm 5$ | $\Gamma<29$ | 6.7 |
| HERMES | $1526 \pm 2 \pm 2.5$ | $\Gamma<20$ | 5.6 |
| World Average | $1535 \pm 2.5$ | Very Narrow |  |
| Prediction | 1530 Г | 15 I=0 | $+1 \quad J^{P}=\frac{1}{2}+$ |

All above are results of reanalyzing the existing data.

## What's next?

- $\Theta^{+}(1540)$
$\checkmark$ Spin, parity, isospin
$\checkmark$ Total decay width
$\checkmark$ Cross section in various reactions
$\checkmark$ Production mechanism
$\checkmark$ Production at B-factories $->$ low background
- Search for other exotic Pentaquark States $\Xi^{-}$- $\Xi^{+}$in electromagnetic interactions
- Search for non-exotic Pentaquark states ( $P_{11}(1440)$, $\left.P_{11}(1710), \Sigma^{\prime} s . ..\right)$, what are their signatures to distinguish them from the $q^{3}$ states?
- Excited states of $\Theta^{+}(1540)$ ? Are they also narrow?
- Pentaquarks with anti-charm quark->B-factories, GSI


## Quantum Chromodynamics

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{Q C D}=-\frac{1}{4 g^{2}} F^{a}{ }_{\mu \nu} F^{a \mu \nu}+\sum_{f=1}^{6} \bar{\psi}_{f}\left(i \gamma_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu}-m_{f}\right) \psi_{f} \\
F_{\mu \nu}{ }^{a}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{a}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{a}+f^{a b c} A_{\mu}^{b} A_{\nu}{ }^{c}
\end{gathered}
$$

Contains everything about from pions to uranium nuclei!

$$
m_{u} \approx 4 M e V, m_{d} \approx 7 M e V
$$

Proton =uud, its mass is 940 MeV
How come the nucleon is almost 100 times heavier its constituents?

Electromagnetic and colour forces $O(\alpha) \sim 0.01$

$\pm 1$ charge


$$
O\left(\alpha_{s}\right) \sim 1
$$



3 "colour" charges


## Chiral Symmetry of QCD

## QCD in the chiral limit, i.e. Quark masses ~ 0

$$
L_{\mathrm{QCD}}=-\frac{1}{4 g^{2}} F_{\mu \nu}^{a} F^{a \mu \nu}+\bar{\psi}\left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+\gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu}\right) \psi
$$

Global QCD-Symmetry $\rightarrow$ Lagrangean invariant under:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{SU}(2)_{V}: \quad \psi=\binom{\psi_{u}}{\psi_{d}} \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}=\exp \left\{-i \alpha^{A} \tau^{A}\right\}\binom{\psi_{u}}{\psi_{d}} & \begin{array}{c}
\text { hadron } \\
\text { multiplets }
\end{array} \\
S U(2)_{A}: \quad \psi=\binom{\psi_{u}}{\psi_{d}} \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}=\exp \left\{-i \alpha^{A} \tau^{A} \gamma_{5}\right\}\binom{\psi_{u}}{\psi_{d}} \longleftarrow \begin{array}{c}
\text { No Multiplets } \\
\text { Symmetry is } \\
\text { sponteneousl } \\
\text { broken }
\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{c}
\text { Symmetry of Lagrangean is not the same } \\
\text { as the symmetry of eigenstates }
\end{array} &
\end{array}
$$

Unbroken chiral symmetry of QCD would mean
That all states with opposite parity have equal masses
But in reality:

$$
N^{*}\left(\frac{1}{2}^{-}\right)-N\left(\frac{1}{2}^{+}\right)=600 M e V
$$

The difference is too large to be explained by
Non-zero quark masses
$\Rightarrow$ chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken
$\square$ pions are light [=pseudo-Goldstone bosons]
$\longrightarrow$ nucleons are heavy
$\longrightarrow$ nuclei exist
$\square$... we exist

## Three main features of the SCSB

- Order parameter: chiral condensate $\left\langle\bar{q} q>\square-250 \mathrm{MeV}^{3} \neq 0\right.$ [vacuum is not "empty"!]
- Quarks get dynamical masses: from the "current" masses of about $m=5 \mathrm{MeV}$ to about $\mathrm{M}=350 \mathrm{MeV}$
- The octet of pseudoscalar meson are anomalously light (pseudo) Goldstone bosons.


## Spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry

Simplest effective Lagrangean for quarks:
Invariant: flavour vector

$$
L_{e f f}=\bar{\psi}\left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}-M\right) \psi
$$ transformation

Not invariant: flavour axial transformation

$$
L_{e f f}=\bar{\psi}\left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}-M U\right) \psi
$$

Invariant: both vector and axial transf.
$\rightarrow U(x)$ must transform properly $\rightarrow$ should be made out of Goldstone bosons

Chiral Quark Soliton Model (ChQSM):
$L_{\text {eff }}=\psi\left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}-M U\right) \psi$

Pseudo-scalar pion field

$$
U(x)=\exp \left(\frac{i}{f_{\pi}} \tau^{A} \pi^{A}(x) \gamma_{5}\right)
$$

Quarks that gained a dynamical mass interact with Goldstone bosons very strongly

$$
g_{\pi q q} \approx 4
$$

Multiple pion exchanges inside nucleon are important


Fully relativistic quantum field theory
A lot of quark-antiquark pairs in WF
Can be solved using mean-filed method if one assumes that 3>> 1

## Fock-State: Valence and Polarized Dirac Sea

Dirac-Equation: $\quad(-i \alpha \nabla+\beta M U) \phi_{i}=\varepsilon_{i} \phi_{i}$
$\phi_{i}(x)=\langle x| a_{i}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \begin{gathered}\text { Natural way for } \\ \text { exotics. Also usual "3-quark" }\end{gathered}$
$S$ baryons should contain a lot of
$\left|\psi\left(N_{c}\right)\right\rangle=\left(\prod_{\text {val }=1, N_{c}}^{N_{c}} a_{\text {val }}^{\dagger}\right)\left(\prod_{j \in s e a} a_{s e a}^{\dagger}\right.$ antiquarks
Quark-anti-quark pairs "stored" in chiral mean-field


Quantum numbers originate from 3 valence quarks AND Dirac sea!

## Quantization of the mean field

## Idea is to use symmetries

if we find a mean field $\pi^{a}$ minimizing the energy
than the flavour rotated $R^{a b} \pi^{b}$ mean field
also minimizes the energy
Slow flavour rotations change energy very little
$\square$ One can write effective dynamics for slow rotations [the form of Lagrangean is fixed by symmeries and axial anomaly! See next slide]
$\square$ One can quantize corresponding dynamics and get spectrum of excitations
[like: rotational bands for moleculae]
Presently there is very interesting discussion whether large Nc limit justifies slow rotations [Cohen, Pobylitsa, Witten....]. Tremendous boost for our understanding of soliton dynamics!
-> new predictions

## SU(3): Collective Quantization

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
L_{\text {coll }}=M_{0}+\frac{I_{1}}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \Omega^{a} \Omega^{a}+\frac{I_{2}}{2} \sum_{a=4}^{7} \Omega^{a} \Omega^{a}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Omega^{8} \\
J^{a}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Omega^{a}} \quad \hat{H}_{\text {coll }}=\frac{1}{2 I_{1}} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \hat{J}^{a} \hat{J}^{a}+\frac{1}{2 I_{2}} \sum_{a=4}^{7} \hat{J}^{a} \hat{J}^{a}+\text { constraint } \\
J^{8}=-\frac{N_{c} B}{2 \sqrt{3}} \quad Y^{\prime}=-\frac{2 \hat{J}^{8}}{\sqrt{3}}=1
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\text { From } \\
\text { Wess- } \\
\text { Zumino } \\
\text {-term }
\end{array}\right]
$$

## SU(3): Collective Quantization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{\text {coll }}=M_{0}+\frac{I_{1}}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \Omega^{a} \Omega^{a}+\frac{I_{2}}{2} \sum_{a=4}^{7} \Omega^{a} \Omega^{a}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Omega^{8} \\
& J^{a}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Omega^{a}} \quad \hat{H}_{\text {coll }}=\frac{1}{2 I_{1}} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \hat{J}^{a} \hat{J}^{a}+\frac{1}{2 I_{2}} \sum_{a=4}^{7} \hat{J}^{a} \hat{J}^{a}+\text { constraint } \\
& J^{8}=-\frac{N_{c} B}{2 \sqrt{3}} \quad \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \equiv-\frac{2 \hat{\mathrm{~J}}^{8}}{\sqrt{3}}=1 \\
& {\left[\hat{J}^{a}, \hat{J}^{b}\right]=i f^{a b c} \hat{J}^{c}} \\
& \text { Spin and parity are predicted !!! } \\
& \text { X, } \bar{X}, \mathcal{X}, 8,10, \overline{10}, 27, \ldots \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \frac{1^{+}}{2} & \frac{3^{+}}{2} \quad \frac{1^{+}}{2} \ldots . \\
\Delta_{10-8}=\frac{3}{2 \mathrm{I}_{1}} & \Delta_{\overline{10}-8}=\frac{3}{2 \mathrm{I}_{2}}
\end{array} \\
& \Delta_{\overline{10}-10}=\frac{3}{2 \mathrm{I}_{2}}-\frac{3}{2 \mathrm{I}_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

General idea: 8, 10, anti-10, etc are various excitations of the same mean field $\rightarrow$ properties are interrelated

Example [Gudagnini '84]

$$
8\left(m_{\Xi^{*}}+m_{N}\right)+3 m_{\Sigma}=11 m_{\Lambda}+8 m_{\Sigma^{*}}
$$

Relates masses in 8 and 10, accuracy 1\%
To fix masses of anti-10 one needs to know the value of $I_{2}$ which is not fixed by masses of 8 and 10


Mass is in expected range (model calculations of $I_{2}$ ) $P_{11}(1440)$ too low, $P_{11}(2100)$ too high

Decay branchings fit soliton picture better

## Decays of the anti-decuplet



All decay constants for 8,10 and anti-10 can be expressed in terms of 3 universal couplings: $G_{0}, G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$
$\Gamma_{\text {decuplet }} \square\left[G_{0}+\frac{1}{2} G_{1}\right]^{2} \quad \Gamma_{\text {anti-decuplet }} \square\left[G_{0}-G_{1}-\frac{1}{2} G_{2}\right]^{2}$
$G_{0}-G_{1}-\frac{1}{2} G_{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad$ In NR limit ! DPP'97
$\Gamma_{\Theta}<15 \mathrm{MeV} \quad$ "Natural" width $\sim 100 \mathrm{MeV}$

## Where to stop?

The next rotational excitations of baryons are $(27,1 / 2)$ and $(27,3 / 2)$. Taken literary, they predict plenty of exotic states. However their widths are estimated to be > 150 MeV . Angular velocities increase, centrifugal forces deform the spherically-symmetric soliton.

In order to survive, the chiral soliton has to stretch into sigar like object, such states lie on linear Regge trajectories [Diakonov, Petrov `88]


Very interesting issue! New theoretical tools should be developed! New view on spectroscopy?


## CERN NA49 reported evidence for $\Xi^{--}$with mass around 1862 MeV and width <18 MeV

For $\Xi$ symmetry breaking effects expected to be large [Walliser, Kopeliovich]
Update of $\pi \mathrm{N} \Sigma$ term gives $180 \mathrm{Mev}->110 \mathrm{MeV}$ [Diakonov, Petrov]
Small width of $\Xi$ is trivial consequence of $S U(3)$ symmetry
Are we sure that $\Xi$ is observed? -> DESY, GSI can check this! And go for charm

## Theory Response to the Pentaquark

```
More than 120 papers
since July 1, }2003
```

Rapidly developing theory: > 3 resubmissions per paper in hep

## - Kaon+Skyrmion

- $\Theta^{+}$as isotensor pentaquark
- di-quärks + antiquark
- colour molecula
- Kaon-nucleon bound state
- Super radiance resonance
- QCD sum rules
- Lattice QCD P=-
- Higher exotic baryons multiplets
- Pentaquarks in string dynamics
- $\mathrm{P}_{11}(1440)$ as pentaquark
- $P_{11}(1710)$ as pentaquark
- Topological soliton
- $\Theta^{+}(1540)$ as a heptaquark
- Exotic baryons in the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ limit
- Anti-charmed $\Theta^{+}$, and anti-beauty $\Theta^{+}{ }_{b}$
- $\Theta^{+}$produced in the quark-gluon plasma
- ......


## Constituent quark model

If one employs flavour independent forces between quarks (OGE) natural parity is negative, although $\mathrm{P}=+1$ possible to arrange

With chiral forces between quarks natural parity is $P=+1$ [Stancu, Riska; Glozman]

- No prediction for width
- Implies large number of excited pentaquarks

Missing Pentaquarks?
(And their families)
Mass difference $\Xi-\Theta \sim 150 \mathrm{MeV}$


## Diquark model [Jaffe, Wilczek]

No dynamic explanation of Strong clustering of quarks

Dynamical calculations suggest large mass [Narodetsky et al.; Shuryak, Zahed]
$J^{P}=1 / 2^{+}$is assumed, no + computed

$J^{P}=3 / 2^{+}$pentaquark should be close in mass [Dudek, Close]

Anti-decuplet is accompanied by an octet of pentaquarks.
P 11 (1440) is a candidate
No prediction for width
Mass difference $\Xi-\Theta \sim 150 \mathrm{MeV}$-> Light $\Xi$ pentaquark

## Implications of the Pentaquark

* Views on what hadrons "made of" and how do they "work" may have fundamentally changed
- renaissance of hadron physics
- need to take a fresh look at what we thought we knew well.
* Quark model \& flux tube model are incomplete and should be revisited
- Does $\Theta$ start a new Regge trajectory? -> implications for high energy scattering of hadrons!
* Can $\Theta$ become stable in nuclear matter? -> physics of compact stars! New type of hypernuclei!
* Issue of heavy-light systems should be revisited ("BaBar" resonance, uuddc-bar pentaquarks ). Role of chiral symmetry can be very important !!!
* Assuming that chiral forces are essential in binding of quarks one gets the lowest baryon multiplets $\left(8,1 / 2^{+}\right),\left(10,3 / 2^{+}\right)$, (anti-10, $\left.1 / 2^{+}\right)$
whose properties are related by symmetry
- Predicted $\Theta$ pentaquark is light NOT because it is a sum of 5 constituent quark masses but rather a collective excitation of the mean chiral field. It is narrow for the same reason
* Where are family members accompaning the pentaquark Are these "well established 3-quark states"? Or we should look for new "missing resonances"? Or we should reconsider fundamentally our view on spectroscopy?


## Surely new discoveries are waiting us around the corner!

