DVCS with nuclear targets



Vadim Guzey

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI), National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Gatchina, Russia



Outline:

- 1. Enhancement of coherent nuclear DVCS at small t
- 2. Coherent/incoherent interplay
- 3. Medium-modified GPDs from quasi-elastic nuclear DVCS
- 4. Present experiments on nuclear DVCS
- 5. Tagged nuclear DVCS on Deuterium

DVCS workshop, Bochum, Germany, February 10-12, 2014

Nuclear GPDs in impulse approximation

The nucleus consists of unmodified, uncorrelated, slowly moving nucleons: nuclear GPDs given by the convolution with free proton and neutron GPDs:



VG, Strikman, PRC68 (2003) 015204; VG PRC78 (2008) 025211 (1) Kirchner, Mueller, EPJ32 (2003) 347

The only nuclear effects included: presence of Z protons and N neutrons and nuclear coherence given by the nuclear form factor $F_A(t)$.

Impulse approximation:

- preserves the baryon number and momentum sum rules in the forward limit
- violates polynomiality (for this, one needs full relativistic treatment of nuclei)
- corrections due to nuclear binding (nucleon motion) can be added and are small. Nuclear binding for He-3: Scopetta, PRC70 (2004) 015205 and PRC79 (2009) 025207

Coherent nuclear DVCS in impulse approximation

At small |t|, the fact that we scatter on both protons and neutrons enhances the nuclear DVCS amplitude by factor A.

The BH amplitude is enhanced only by factor Z.

$$\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}^{A} = AF_{A}(t)\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}^{N}$$
$$\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}^{A} = ZF_{A}(t)\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}^{N}$$

Model-independent statement: DVCS asymmetries in coherent nuclear DVCS are enhanced by factor ~2. $A^A_{\rm LU}(\phi) \sim \frac{A}{Z} A^p_{\rm LU}(\phi)$



Interplay of coherent and incoherent nuclear DVCS

When final nucleus is not detected, both coherent (nucleus intact) and incoherent (nucleus breaks up) contribute:





coherent DVCS and BH

incoherent DVCS and BH

Coherent contribution dominates at small |t|; incoherent – at large |t|:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}_{\text{DVCS}}^{A}|^{2} &= (A-1)^{2} F_{A}^{2}(t) |\mathcal{T}_{\text{DVCS}}^{N}|^{2} + Z |\mathcal{T}_{\text{DVCS}}^{p}|^{2} + N |\mathcal{T}_{\text{DVCS}}^{n}|^{2} \\ |\mathcal{T}_{\text{BH}}^{A}|^{2} &= Z(Z-1) F_{A}^{2}(t) |\mathcal{T}_{\text{BH}}^{N}|^{2} + Z |\mathcal{T}_{\text{BH}}^{p}|^{2} + N |\mathcal{T}_{\text{BH}}^{n}|^{2} \\ \mathcal{I}^{A} &= Z(A-1) F_{A}^{2}(t) \mathcal{T}^{N} + Z \mathcal{I}^{p} + N \mathcal{I}^{n} \end{aligned}$$

Interplay of coherent and incoherent nuclear DVCS (2)



At large |t|, one is sensitive to neutron contribution \rightarrow

VG PRC78 (2008) 025211

Q²=1.7 GeV², x_B=0.065, φ=90°

Combinatoric enhancement at small |t| followed by some suppression at large |t| due to neutron contribution.

 $\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}^{A}|^{2} &= (A-1)^{2} F_{A}^{2}(t) |\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}^{N}|^{2} + Z |\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}^{p}|^{2} + N |\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}^{n}|^{2} \\ |\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}^{A}|^{2} &= Z(Z-1) F_{A}^{2}(t) |\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}^{N}|^{2} + Z |\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}^{p}|^{2} + N |\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}^{n}|^{2} \\ \mathcal{I}^{A} &= Z(A-1) F_{A}^{2}(t) \mathcal{T}^{N} + Z \mathcal{I}^{p} + N \mathcal{I}^{n} \end{aligned}$



Medium-modified GPDs

On top of impulse approximation, model medium modifications of bound nucleon GPDs.

Assume that GPDs are modified in proportion to bound proton elastic form factors: VG, Thomas, Tsushima, PLB673 (2009) 9

$$H^{q/p^*}(x,\xi,t,Q^2) = \frac{F_1^{p^*}(t)}{F_1^p(t)} H^q(x,\xi,t,Q^2),$$
$$E^{q/p^*}(x,\xi,t,Q^2) = \frac{F_2^{p^*}(t)}{F_2^p(t)} E^q(x,\xi,t,Q^2),$$
$$\tilde{H}^{q/p^*}(x,\xi,t,Q^2) = \frac{G_1^*(t)}{G_1(t)} \tilde{H}^q(x,\xi,t,Q^2),$$

Bound proton FFs modeled using Quark-Meson Coupling (QMC) model consistent with analysis of polarization transfer in ${}^{4}\text{He}(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p}){}^{3}\text{He}$ measured at Hall A, Strauch *et al.*, PRL91 (2003) 052301

Designed to probe *possible* medium-modifications on bound proton GPDs in quasi-elastic nuclear DVCS:



Medium-modified GPDs (2)



Predictions for He4 in JLab kinematics, to be tested by completed CLAS experiment

The result can be understood by analyzing medium-modifications of bound proton elastic form factors:

$$A_{\rm LU}(\phi) \propto {\rm Im} \left(F_1^{p^*} \mathcal{H}^{p^*} + \frac{x_B}{2 - x_B} (F_1^{p^*} + F_2^{p^*}) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{p^*} - \frac{t}{4m_N^2} F_2^{p^*} \mathcal{E}^{p^*} \right) / f(F_1^{p^*}, F_2^{p^*}) \sin \phi,$$



Nuclear DVCS: HERMES



Airapetian et al. (Hermes) PRC81 (2010) 035202

- The recoiling system is NOT detected: exclusivity via cut on missing mass
- Coherent and incoherent separated using trick related to t-dependence: approximate
- Main conclusions:
 - no A-dependence
 - for the ratio of asymmetries:

 $R_{\rm LU} = A_{\rm LU,(I,+),A}^{\sin \phi} / A_{\rm LU,I,H}^{\sin \phi}$ $R_{\rm LU}^{\rm coh} = 0.91 \pm 0.19$ $R_{\rm LU}^{\rm incoh} = 0.93 \pm 0.23$

Possible reasons for absence of A-enhancement:

- over-subtraction of incoherent DVCS
- overestimated DVCS on proton (by ~15%) \rightarrow talk on G. Schnell on Monday
- large theoretical corrections to impulse approximation (FSI for closure approximation, some nuclear shadowing)

Nuclear DVCS on ⁴He at CLAS at 6 GeV

Results: BSA and Generalized EMC Ratio

Beam Spin Asymmetry @ 90

- Significantly non-zero and relatively flat ~25%
- Consistent with HERMES ((eγX, no ⁴He detection)
 - A. Airapetian et al, Phys. Rev. C 81 (2010) 035202

Generalized EMC Ratio

- Binning chosen to match published e1dvcs kinematics for the denominator
- We only cover eg1dvcs's lowest t-bin
- A hint of the predicted behavior





S. Stepanyan, Exploring Hadron Structure with Tagged Structure Functions, Jefferson Lab, January 16-18, 2014



14

Nuclear DVCS on D: Hall A at JLab



Mazouz et al. (Hall A) PRL99 (2007) 242501

- Exclusivity via cut on missing mass
- $S_h(D) S_h(p) =$ coherent deuteron + incoherent neutron:

$$\frac{d^{5}\Sigma_{\mathrm{D-H}}}{d^{5}\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{d^{5}\sigma^{+}}{d^{5}\Phi} - \frac{d^{5}\sigma^{-}}{d^{5}\Phi} \right]$$
$$= \left(\Gamma_{d}^{\Im} \Im \mathrm{m} \left[\mathcal{C}_{d}^{I} \right]^{exp} + \Gamma_{n}^{\Im} \Im \mathrm{m} \left[\mathcal{C}_{n}^{I} \right]^{exp} \right) \sin(\phi_{\gamma\gamma})$$

Results:

- extraction of C_d and C_n at x_B=0.36 and Q²=1.9 GeV²
- coherent D: consistent with theory at large |t| and inconsistent at small t.
- incoherent neutron:
- unlike p-DVCS probing H and H~, n-DVCS essentially sensitive to E
- enhanced sensitivity to d-quark \rightarrow flavor separation of quark GPDs
- model-dependent constrains on Ju and Jd quark angular momenta

Tagged nuclear DVCS on Deuterium

- Part of project "Physics potential of polarized light ions with EIC@JLab", JLab LDRD grant
- Aimed to study:
 - neutron partonic structure for x < 0.1
 - bound nucleon in controlled nuclear environment
 - multiple scattering (nuclear shadowing)
- Advantage of collider kinematics and tagging:
 - (polarized) ions in colliders for the first time
 - wide kinematics in x_{B} and Q^2
 - spectator tagging allows for extrapolation to nucleon pole and better control of FSI and shadowing corrections
- Coherent DVCS on heavy nuclei: nuclear coherence via rapidity gap + zero degree calorimeter catching neutrons from nucleus decay



Summary

- Coherent nuclear DVCS is enhanced by factor ~2.
 - competing prediction of Liuti, Taneja (2005): 10-25% enhancement
 - discrepancy with Hermes analysis
 - broadly consistent with DVCS on ⁴He at CLAS (analysis under way)
- When the final nucleus is not detected, both coherent and incoherent processes contribute.
 - coherent dominates at small t
 - incoherent dominates at large t; can be used to probe DVCS on the bound neutron.
- Quasi-elastic nuclear DVCS probes possible medium-modifications of bound proton GPDs.
 the effect is expected to be small, ~5%.
- There is pioneering data on nuclear DVCS from Hermes and JLab
 - analysis of DVCS on ⁴He at CLAS in progress
- EIC has extensive program for nuclear DVCS and deep exclusive meson production
 - tagged nuclear DVCS on light polarized nuclei (D, ³He)
 - coherent nuclear DVCS on heavy nuclei for small-x physics: nuclear shadowing and gluon saturation